A Graphical Calculus for Quantum Observables



Ross Duncan

Oxford University Computing Laboratory

Joint Work with Bob Coecke

Motivation

- Quantum observables may be incompatible: position/momentum, polarisation, spin ...
- In traditional quantum logic approaches these observables are simply *incomparable* in the lattice.
- However if one wants to *compute* with quantum mechanics we need know how these observables relate to each other.

Cloning and Deleting

Consider the following maps:

$$\delta_Z : |i\rangle \mapsto |ii\rangle \qquad\qquad \epsilon_Z : \sum_i |i\rangle \mapsto 1$$

- δ_Z is the *cloning* map for the basis $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$.
- ϵ_Z is the *uniform deleting* of this basis.

Together these maps describe how to embed classical data into the quantum state space.

Obviously δ_Z is cannot clone all states:

$$\delta_Z \circ \epsilon_Z^\dagger = \delta_Z(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) = |00\rangle + |11\rangle$$

Classical Objects

Represent maps constructed from δ_Z and ϵ_Z as graphs built up from:

$\delta =$ 

$\epsilon =$ 

$\delta^\dagger =$ 

$\epsilon^\dagger =$ 

Algebraic Laws

Comonoid laws:



(And their duals, the monoid laws)

Algebraic Laws

Special Frobenius laws:





Spider Theorem

Theorem 1. *Any map constructed by composing δ_Z and ϵ_Z, and their adjoints is uniquely determined by the number of inputs and outputs.*



Therefore the graphical calculus for one classical object is rather uninteresting.

Another Classical Structure

Can equally well use the X basis to define a classical structure:

$$\delta_X : |+\rangle \mapsto |++\rangle \qquad\qquad \epsilon_X : \sqrt{2}\,|0\rangle \mapsto 1$$

These obey all the same algebraic laws as δ_Z, ϵ_Z. Further more:

- $\sqrt{2}\,|0\rangle = \epsilon_X^\dagger$;
- $\delta_Z \epsilon_X^\dagger = \delta_Z\,|0\rangle = |00\rangle = \epsilon_X^\dagger \otimes \epsilon_X^\dagger$;
- $|+\rangle = \epsilon_Z^\dagger$
- $\delta_X \epsilon_Z^\dagger = \delta_X\,|+\rangle = |++\rangle = \epsilon_Z^\dagger \otimes \epsilon_Z^\dagger$

The Hadamard Map

The *Hadamard map* $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ enjoys a number of useful properties:

- Self adjointness: $H = H^\dagger$; and unitarity: $HH = \mathrm{id}$;



- The Hadamard exchanges the X and Z bases.

Hence:

$$\delta_X = (H \otimes H)\delta_Z H \qquad\qquad \epsilon_X = \epsilon_Z H$$

A 2nd Classical Structure

Represent the classical structure induced by H as a red dot:



We can immediately derive a law for changing the colour of dots by introducing H boxes. What other laws hold?

Bialgebraic Laws for Non-commuting observables

Cloning Laws:



Bialgebraic Laws for Non-commuting observables

Bialgebra Law:



Bialgebraic Laws for Non-commuting observables

Dimension Law:



The pair of non-commuting observables fails to be a true bialgebra: every equation has a (hidden) scalar factor. Call this structure a *scaled bialgebra*.

Scaled Bialgebra Laws



A Useful Lemma



A Useful Lemma



A Useful Lemma



A Useful Lemma



A Useful Lemma



A Useful Lemma



A Useful Lemma



A Useful Lemma



A Useful Lemma



A Useful Lemma



Therefore, the scaled bialgebra is in fact a *scaled Hopf algebra*, whose antipode is the identity times the dimension of the underlying space.

Representing Quantum Logic Gates

$$\wedge Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} =$$



$$\wedge X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =$$



Example: $\wedge Z \circ \wedge Z = \text{id}$



Example: $\wedge Z \circ \wedge Z = \mathrm{id}$



Example: $\wedge Z \circ \wedge Z = \mathrm{id}$



Example: $\wedge Z \circ \wedge Z = \mathrm{id}$



Example: $\wedge Z \circ \wedge Z = \mathrm{id}$



Example: $\wedge Z \circ \wedge Z = \mathrm{id}$



Example: $3 \times \wedge X =$ **swap**



Example: $3 \times \wedge X =$ **swap**



Example: $3 \times \wedge X =$ **swap**



Example: $3 \times \wedge X =$ **swap**



Example: $3 \times \wedge X =$ swap



Example: $3 \times \wedge X =$ **swap**



Example: $3 \times \wedge X =$ **swap**



Incorporating Phases

Let $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi)$; consider the maps:

$$Z_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{pmatrix} =$$ 

$$X_\alpha = HZ_\alpha H =$$ 

Incorporating Phases

$$Z_\alpha \circ Z_\beta = Z_{\alpha+\beta}$$



$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\delta} & Q \otimes Q \\ {\scriptstyle Z_\alpha}\Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle Z_\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}} \\ Q & \xrightarrow[\delta]{} & Q \otimes Q \end{array}$$



Generalised Spider Law



General unitary U

Proposition 2. *If U is a unitary on $\mathbb{C}^2$ there exist α, β, γ such that $U = Z_\alpha X_\beta Z_\gamma$.*

Here is (part of) a measurement based program to compute this:



General unitary U



General unitary U



General unitary U



General unitary U



General unitary U



$$= Z_\alpha X_\beta Z_\gamma$$

How do phases interact?

$Z_\alpha |0\rangle = |0\rangle$ $\qquad$ $Z_\alpha |1\rangle = e^{i\alpha} |1\rangle = |1\rangle$



How do phases interact?



How do phases interact?



"Negation"

$$X_\pi = X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad :: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |0\rangle \mapsto |1\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \end{array} \right.$$

$$\begin{CD} Q @>{\delta}>> Q \otimes Q \\ @V{X}VV @VV{X \otimes X}V \\ Q @>>{\delta}> Q \otimes Q \end{CD}$$

“Negation”



"Negation"

$$X :: |0\rangle + e^{i\alpha}|1\rangle \mapsto e^{i\alpha}|1\rangle + |0\rangle = |0\rangle + e^{-i\alpha}|1\rangle$$



Representing Controlled Phase

$$\wedge Z_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{pmatrix} =$$



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform

Among the most important quantum algorithms, the quantum fourier transform is a key stage of factoring.

$$|j_0 j_1 \cdots j_n\rangle \mapsto (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i \alpha_0}|1\rangle)(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i \alpha_1}|1\rangle)\cdots(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i \alpha_n}|1\rangle)$$

where $\alpha_k = 0.j_k \cdots j_n = \sum_{l=k}^{n} j_l/2^k$

For 2 qubits:

$$|00\rangle \mapsto (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \qquad |10\rangle \mapsto (|0\rangle + e^{i\pi}|1\rangle)(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$

$$|01\rangle \mapsto (|0\rangle + e^{i\pi/2}|1\rangle)(|0\rangle + e^{i\pi}|1\rangle) \qquad |11\rangle \mapsto (|0\rangle + e^{i3\pi/2}|1\rangle)(|0\rangle + e^{i\pi}|1\rangle)$$

Example: Quantum Fourier Transform



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform



which is the correct result!

Conclusions

- Pairs of incompatible observables form a Hopf algebra-like structure.
- This structure captures a fundamental aspect of quantum mechanics.
- The axioms are sufficiently strong to derive the properties of quantum logic gates and prove the correctness of important quantum algorithms.

Questions and Further Work

- What about completeness?
  - Are two observables sufficient?
  - Can we prove that there is another maximally unbiassed basis for the qubit?
  - What about other dimensionalities?
- How special is the choice of the H map?
- Formal properties:
  - Confluence? Termination?
  - Can this be mechanized?
  - Induction principals for reasoning about graphical rewriting?
- We simulated the QFT algorithm: what is the complexity of this simulation? Can complexity be studied in this setting?